arXiv:1609.01238v1 [math.PR] 5 Sep 2016

Super-character theory and comparison arguments for a random walk on the upper triangular matrices

Evita Nestoridi

September 6, 2016

1 Introduction

Let p be an odd prime and G be the group consisting of all the $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices with 1's on the diagonal and with elements of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ above the diagonal. Let $E(i, i+1)$ be the $n \times n$ matrix having a one in the $(i, i+1)$ entry and zeros elsewhere. Define the following probability measure:

$$P(g) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2(n-1)}, & \text{if } g = I_n \pm E(i, i+1) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

We also write

$$P(x, gx) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2(n-1)}, & \text{if } g = I_n \pm E(i, i+1) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

to indicate the probability of moving from x to gx in one step. Then the t^{th} convolution of P defined inductively as

$$P_x^{*t}(y) = \sum_{w \in G} P_w^{*(t-1)}(y) P(x, w)$$

gives the probability of moving form x to y in t steps. Since P defines a random walk on a group, the starting point will be the identity.

When viewed as a sequence of measures, P_x^{*t} converges to the uniform measure μ on G with respect to the total variation distance, which is defined as

$$||P_x^{*t} - \mu||_{T.V.} := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{g\in G} |P_x^{*t}(g) - \mu(g)|$$

The main result of this paper concerns the mixing time of the above walk with respect to the total variation distance, i.e.

$$t_{mix}(\epsilon) = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{Z} : \max_{x\in G}\{||P_x^{*t} - \mu||_{T.V.}\} < \epsilon\}$$

where μ is the uniform measure on G. This paper is dealing only with random walks on groups which means that the mixing time does not depend on the starting state. As a result, instead of writing P_x^{*t} for simplicity we will write P^{*t}.

The idea is to study the super-character theory of G, use it to find the mixing time of a different walk on G and then introduce a comparison argument to get an answer for the original walk.

This new walk is described as follows: let $a = \begin{cases} \lfloor\sqrt{p}\rfloor, & \text{if } \lfloor\sqrt{p}\rfloor \text{ is odd} \\ \lfloor\sqrt{p}\rfloor + 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Then, define

$$Q(g) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4(n-1)p^{n-2}}, & \text{if } g \in C_i(\pm 1) \cup C_i(\pm a) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where $C_i(\pm 1)$ is the conjugacy class of the matrix $I_n \pm E(i, i+1)$ and $C_i(\pm a)$ is similarly the conjugacy class of the matrix $I_n \pm aE(i, i+1)$.

The first result is the following:

Theorem 1. *For the conjugacy random walk on G defined by (3), if* $t = 2n(p+2)\log n + dn$, *then*

$$4||Q^{*t} - \mu||_{T.V.}^2 \leq \frac{3}{2}e^{-d}(1 + 2e^{-d})$$

where $0 < d < 2(p+2)\log n$.

A comparison argument connects the result of Theorem 1 to the random walk generated by (2) and leads to the following result:

Theorem 2. *For the random walk on G defined by (2), the following hold:*

1. *If n is small, in the sense that $n \log p \leq 2(p+2)\log n + \frac{1}{n}\log c$ where c is a constant then for $t = 79n^2(p + 2\lfloor\sqrt{p}\rfloor + 1)(2n(p+2)\log n + dn)$,*

$$4||P^{*t} - \mu||^2_{T.V} \leq ce^{-dn} + \frac{3}{2}e^{-d}(1 + 2e^{-d})$$

2. *Otherwise for $t = 79n^2(p + 2\lfloor\sqrt{p}\rfloor + 1)(2n^2(p+2) + dn)$,*

$$4||P^{*t} - \mu||^2_{T.V} \leq e^{-dn} + \frac{3}{2}e^{-d}(1 + 2e^{-d})$$

Remark 3. *The second part of Theorem 2 says that order n^4p^2 steps suffice to reach stationarity. This is the main contribution of this paper; providing upper bounds for the mixing time with the correct dependency on p. The first part of Theorem 2 says that better dependency on n can be achieved if n is sufficiently small. That is if $n \log p \leq 2(p+2)\log n + \frac{1}{n}\log c$, which can happen for $n \leq p$ and $c = 1$, then order $p^2n^3 \log n$ steps suffice to reach stationarity.*

Sections 3 and 4 provide a quick overview of the super-character theory needed. Then, Fourier analysis arguments that are explained in Section 5 lead to the proof of Theorem 1, which is presented in full detail in Section 6. Section 7 provides a small reminder of the comparison techniques introduced by Diaconis and Saloff-Coste [9] and provides the path constructions needed to prove Theorem 2, which is proven in Section 8.

Remark 4. *The result of this paper refer to p being an odd prime. The case of $p = 2$ has been thoroughly studied by Peres and Sly [12], who proved an upper bound for the mixing time of order n^2 and Stong [14] who proved that at least order n^2 steps are required.*

For the case where the entries of the matrices are over a finite field F_q where $q = p^u$, with p an odd prime Aryas-Castro, Diaconis and Stanley [4] (page 758) explain what the corresponding random walk is and show that the representation theory arguments give the similar answers to the ones for the case of working over F_p.

2 Literature

Many people have studied similar problems, starting with Zack [17] who was interested in the Heisenberg group (which is G for the case $n = 3$). Then

Diaconis and Saloff-Coste [6] using Nash inequalities proved that for the walk on the Heisenberg group with n fixed and p large order p^2 steps are necessary and sufficient. This result has been proven by several different routes. See Diaconis and Hough [8] for a review.

Arias-Castro, Diaconis and Stanley [4] then used super-character theory and comparison to give a result for the general case, taking into consideration R. Stong's earlier bounds on the eigenvalues [14] . Their upper bound for the $\overline{P}-$walk is of order $n^4p^2\log n + n^3p^4\log n$. They prove it by doing super character theory analysis for the walk generated by $C_i(\pm 1)$ and then doing comparison theory [9]. They also give a thorough description of a lower bound of the form $p^2n\log n$. Stong has found sharp bounds for the second and last eigenvalues for the $\overline{P}-$walk which allowed him to prove an upper bound of the order $p^2n^3\log p$. He also shows that at least n^2 steps are needed for the $\overline{P}-$walk. Coppersmith and Pak ([11], [5]) looked at walk generated by $\{g = I_n + aE(i, i+1), a \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\}$ and managed to improve the n term of the upper bound in the case where $n >> p^2$ while Peres and Sly [12] proved that for $p = 2$ the sharp bound is of order n^2 using the east model. Also for the walk that Coppersmith and Pak studied, they proved that $n^2\log q$ steps are sufficient to reach stationarity. We refer to Peres and Sly for a more complete survey of the existing literature.

Carlos André ([1], [2], [3]) , Roger Carter and Ning Yan [15] have developed a theory using certain unions of conjugacy classes, that we will refer to as super-classes and sums of irreducible characters, that are called super characters.

The contribution of this chapter is sharpening the super-character theory techniques introduce by Arias-Castro, Diaconis and Stanley and fixing the dependency on p at least for the case of the lazy walk.

3 The conjugacy classes and the super-classes

The definition of Q relies on the knowledge of the conjugacy classes of G. In general, neither the conjugacy classes of G nor the character theory of G are known. In fact, Gudivok, Kapitonova, Polyak, Rudko and Tsitkin [10] proved that a description of the conjugacy classes of G would lead to a description of wild quivers. However, there is a full description of the conjugacy class of $I_n + aE(i, i+1)$, where $a \neq 0$. It consists of all matrices in G whose $(i, i+1)$ entry is a, the entries of the $i+1$ column exactly above $(i, i+1)$

are arbitrary elements $a_1, a_2, ..., a_{i-1}$, the entries of the i^{th} row exactly to the right of $(i, i+1)$ are arbitrary elements $b_1, ..., b_{n-i-1}$ and in the block surrounded by these a_j, b_k the (j, k) entry is $a^{-1}a_jb_k$. Here is an example for $n = 6$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & a_1 & a_1b_1a^{-1} & a_1b_2a^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & a_2 & a_2b_1a^{-1} & a_2b_2a^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & a & b_1 & b_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Despite the fact that the character theory of G is unknown, André, Carter and Yan have provided a formula for the super-characters of G [15] and Arias-Castro, Diaconis and Stanley [4] proved that they can be used to provide upper bounds for mixing times. Here is the general description of the super-classes, which are specific unions of conjugacy classes, while the super-character description can be found in Section 4. It turns out that the super classes containing the generators $I_n \pm E_{i,j}$ are simply their conjugacy classes.

If $U_n(p)$ is the set of all $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices with zeros on the diagonal then $G \times G$ acts on $U_n(p)$ by right and left multiplication. Let Ψ index the orbits of this action, which we call transition orbits. Then according to Yan each transition orbit contains a unique representative which has exactly one non-zero entry per column and row (see Theorem 3.1 of [16]). If D denotes the positions of the non-zero entries and $\phi : D \to F_p^*$ then Ψ consists of pairs (D, ϕ).

Each super-class corresponds to an orbit and is obtained by adding the identity to each element of the orbit. Yan explains at the end of Section 2 of [16] that the super-class of the element of the form $I_n + aE(i, i+1)$ coincides with its conjugacy class. Denote each super-class by $C(D, \phi)$.

4 The supercharacters

The super-characters are certain sums of characters that can be used to bound the mixing time of the walk generated by Q as it is later described by lemma 5. Here is the description of the super-characters as it was provided by Yan [15].

Let $U_n^*(F_p)$ be the space of linear maps from $U_n(p)$ to F_p. Then G acts

on the left and right of $U_n^*(p)$ as follows:

$$g \star \lambda(m) = \lambda(mg), \lambda \star g(m) = (gm)$$

where $g \in G, \lambda \in U_n^*(F_p)$ and $m \in U_n(p)$. The orbits of the action of $G \times G$ on $U_n^*(F_p)$ are called cotransition orbits. To get an element of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$ it is intuitive that we should consider a non-trivial homomorphism $\theta : F_p \to \mathbb{C}^*$ and then for $\lambda \in U_n^*(F_p)$ we get the element of the group algebra $u_\lambda : G \to \mathbb{C}$ defined as:

$$u_\lambda(g) = \theta(\lambda(g - I))$$

It is an easy computation to check that

$$g \cdot u_\lambda = u_\lambda(g) u_{g \cdot \lambda}$$

Therefore if L is a left orbit of the action of G on $U_n^*(F_p)$ then $span\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in L}$ is a submobule of $\mathbb{C}[G]$. If we denote by Ψ^* the set of orbits of the action of G on $U_n^*(F_p)$ then $\chi_{D,\phi}$ will be the character corresponding to the above representation, while D and ϕ determine the conjugacy class we refer to as described in Section 3. Yan [2001] proved that if λ and λ' are in the same right orbit of G acting on $U_n^*(F_p)$ then $\chi_\lambda = \chi_{\lambda'}$ and therefore it makes sense to talk about $\chi_{D,\phi}$. Also, Yan proved that $\{\chi_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Psi^*}$ form an orthogonal basis with the usual inner product.

Crucially, a combination of work of André, Carter, Yan, Arias-Castro, Diaconis and Stanley for the case of superclass functions gives an explicit, reasonably simple formula for the the value of a super character and a super class. This is described in section 6 for the case of interest here.

5 Fourier Transform Setup

For Q a probability measure on G which is also a super function and $\chi_{D,\phi}$, let

$$\widehat{Q}(D, \phi) = \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{D,\phi}(g) Q(g)$$

denote the Fourier Transform of Q at $\chi_{D,\phi}$. Then, E.Arias-Castro, P.Diaconis and R.Stanley [4] proved the following upper bound lemma using Fourier Transform arguments:

Lemma 5. *If* $\widehat{Q}(D,\phi) = \sum_g Q(g)\overline{\chi}_{D,\phi}(g)$ *is the Fourier Transform of* Q *indexed by* (D,ϕ) *then*

$$4||Q^{*t} - \mu||^2_{T.V.} \leq \sum_{D\neq\emptyset,\phi} p^{-i(D)} \left(\frac{\widehat{Q}(D,\phi)}{p^{d(D)}}\right)^{2t}$$

where $d(D)$ *is the sum of the vertical distances from the boxes in* D *to the super diagonal* $\{(i,i+1)\}_{1\leq i\leq n-1}$ *and* $i(D)$ *counts the number of pairs of boxes* $(i,j),(k,l)$ *in* D *with* $1 \leq i < k < j < l \leq n$ *so that the corner* (k,j) *is strictly above the diagonal.*

Remark 6. *The statistics* $i(D)$ *and* $d(D)$ *are discussed in full detail in Yan [15], Arya-Castro, Diaconis and Stanley [4].*

6 Proof or Theorem 1

The proof of Theorem 1 follows the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [4] and makes use of the Upper Bound Lemma 5:

Proof. Set $D' = C_i(\pm 1)$. For any D let D_i be the set of positions in D in the rectangle strictly above and to the right of $(i,i+1)$ and $R(\{i,i+1\})$ is the complement of all the positions that are directly below $(i,i+1)$ or directly to the right of $(i,i+1)$. Then the formula of Aryas-Castro, Diaconis and Stanley specializes to

$$\frac{\chi_{D,\phi}(C_i(\pm 1))}{\chi_{D,\phi}(\emptyset)} = \begin{cases} p^{-|D_i|\theta(\pm\phi(i,i+1))}, & \text{if } D \subset R(\{i,i+1\}) \\ 0, & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

while for $D' = C_i(\pm a)$ and $D_i$as above, then

$$\frac{\chi_{D,\phi}(C_i(\pm a))}{\chi_{D,\phi}(\emptyset)} = \begin{cases} p^{-|D_i|\theta(\pm a\phi(i,i+1))}, & \text{if } D \subset R(\{i,i+1\}) \\ 0, & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We need to bound

$$\sum_{D\neq\emptyset,\phi} p^{-i(D)} \left(\frac{\widehat{Q}(D,\phi)}{p^{d(D)}}\right)^{2t} \leq \sum_{D\neq\emptyset,\phi} \left(\frac{\widehat{Q}(D,\phi)}{p^{d(D)}}\right)^{2t}$$

with

$$\frac{\widehat{Q}(D,\phi)}{p^{d(D)}} = \frac{1}{2n-2}\sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} w_i(D)\left(\cos\frac{2\pi\phi(i,i+1)}{p} + \cos\frac{2\pi\phi(i,i+1)a}{p}\right)$$

where the weights $w_i(D)$ satisfy $0 \le w_i(D) \le 1$ and $w_i(D) = 0$ whenever there is s such that $(i,s) \in D$ or $(s,i+1) \in D$. Let $Z(D)$ be the set of $i = 1,2,...,n-1$ such that $w_i(D) = 0$.

Let $I_a^+(\phi)$ (respectively $I_a^-(\phi)$) be the set of $i = 1,2,3,...,n-1$ such that $\cos\frac{2\pi\phi(i,i+1)a}{p} > 0$ (resp. $\cos\frac{2\pi\phi(i,i+1)a}{p} < 0$). The following will be the dominating terms:

$$A^+(D,\phi) = \frac{1}{2n-2}\left(\sum_{\substack{i\in \\ I_p^+(\phi)\cap Z(D)^c}} \cos\frac{2\pi\phi(i,i+1)}{p} + \sum_{\substack{i\in \\ I_a^+(\phi)\cap Z(D)^c}} \cos\frac{2\pi\phi(i,i+1)a}{p}\right)$$

and

$$A^-(D,\phi) = \frac{1}{2n-2}\left(\sum_{\substack{i\in \\ I_p^-(\phi)\cap Z(D)^c}} \cos\frac{2\pi\phi(i,i+1)}{p} + \sum_{\substack{i\in \\ I_a^-(\phi)\cap Z(D)^c}} \cos\frac{2\pi\phi(i,i+1)a}{p}\right)$$

Since

$$A^-(D,\phi) \le \frac{\widehat{Q}(D,\phi)}{p^{d(D)}} \le A^+(D,\phi),$$

$$\sum_{D\neq\emptyset,\phi}\left(\frac{\widehat{Q}(D,\phi)}{p^{d(D)}}\right)^{2t} \le S^+ + S^-$$

where

$$S^\pm = \sum_{D\neq\emptyset,\phi}\left(A^\pm(D,\phi)\right)^{2t}$$

To bound S^+:

Let $b(D)$ be the cardinality of the elements of D that are off the super diagonal and $c^\pm(D) = |I_a^\pm(\phi)\cap on(D)| + |I_p^\pm(\phi)\cap on(D)|$ where $on(D)$ are the elements of the super diagonal of D.

Then, replacing $\phi(i, i+1)$ by h_i,

$$S^+ \leq \sum_D p^{b(D)} p^{c^-(D)} \left(\frac{c^+(D)}{2n-2}\right)^{2t}$$
$$\sum_{h_1,h_2,\ldots,h_{c^+(D)}} \left(\frac{1}{c^+(D)} \left(\sum_{\substack{i\in \\ I_p^+(\phi)\cap Z(D)^c}} \cos\frac{2\pi h_i}{p} + \sum_{\substack{i\in \\ I_a^+(\phi)\cap Z(D)^c}} \cos\frac{2\pi h_i a}{p}\right)\right)^{2t}$$

Set $h_i' = \begin{cases} h_i, & \text{for the second terms} \\ \frac{h_i}{a}, & \text{for the first terms} \end{cases}$ and rewrite the above sum as

$$\sum_D p^{b(D)} p^{c^-(D)} \left(\frac{c^+(D)}{2n-2}\right)^{2t} \sum_{h_1',h_2',\ldots,h_{c^+(D)}'} \left(\frac{1}{c^+(D)} \sum_{i=1}^{c^+(D)} \cos\frac{2\pi h_i' a}{p}\right)^{2t}$$

Now, notice that the h_i' run through $[-p/4a, \ldots, p/4a]$ since the h_i of the first terms run through $[-p/4, \ldots, p/4]$ the h_i of the second terms can be taken modulo p/a. But in that case, Diaconis and Saloff-Coste in Section 5, Example 2 of [9] give that for all $1 \leq c \leq n-1$ there are α, β uniformly in p, n and c such that

$$\sum_{h_1',h_2',\ldots,h_c'} \left(\frac{1}{c}\sum_{i=1}^{c} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi h_i' a}{p}\right)\right)^{2t} \leq \alpha e^{-\beta t/(pn\log n)} \tag{4}$$

Saloff-Coste gives another proof of (4) in [13] (Theorem 8.10). The analysis of Example 2.1 of [7] in combination with Example 2 of [9] give that for every $t \geq \frac{18}{\pi^2} pn(\frac{1}{2}\log n + 4 + d)$ the following inequality holds:

$$\sum_{h_1',h_2',\ldots,h_c'} \left(\frac{1}{c}\sum_{i=1}^{c} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi h_i' a}{p}\right)\right)^{2t} \leq \frac{3}{2} e^{-d} \tag{5}$$

Now if $T = \sum_D p^{b(D)} p^{c^-(D)} \left(\frac{c^+(D)}{2n-2}\right)^{2t}$ then, following the proof of Arias-Castro, Diaconis and Stanley [4], for $t = 2n(p+2)\log n + dn$

$$T \leq 1 + 2e^{-d}$$

where $d > 0$. To prove this, notice that if $a(D)$ is the cardinality of $Z(D)$ then $a(D) + c^+(D) + c^-(D) \leq 2n - 2$ and $a(D) > b(D)$ so

$$T \leq \sum_D p^{b(D)+c^-(D)} \left(1 - \frac{b(D) + c^-(D)}{n-1}\right)^{2t}$$

since there are at most $\binom{n-1}{c} \times \binom{n^2}{c}$ sets of positions with $b = b(D)$ and $c = c^-(D)$, which is bounded by $n^{2(b+c)}$

$$T \leq 1 + \sum_{1 \leq b+c \leq n-1} (np)^{2(b+c)} \left(1 - \frac{b+c}{2n-2}\right)^{2t} \leq$$

$$1 + \sum_{l=1}^{n} (pn)^{2l} e^{-2kl/(n-1)}$$

So for $t = 2n(p+2)\log n + dn$

$$T \leq 1 + e^{-d} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{p}{n^{p+1}}\right)^{2l} \leq 1 + \frac{e^{-d}}{1 - \frac{p}{n^{p+1}}} \leq 1 + 2e^{-d}$$

since $n^{p+1} > 2p$ for $n > 2$.

Similar arguments can be used to bound S^-.

□

7 The Comparison Argument

A comparison argument allows using theorem 1 in order to prove theorem 2. More specifically, Diaconis and Saloff-Coste [9] prove the Lemma 7 between L^2 norms. The L^2 distance of P^{*t} from μ is defined as

$$||P^{*t} - \mu||_2 := \left(\sum_{g \in G} |P^{*t}(g) - \mu(g)|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

and notice that

$$4||Q^{*t} - \mu||_{T.V.}^2 \leq |G|||P^{*t} - \mu||_2^2$$

Lemma 7. *Let P, Q be the probability measures on G, that were defined in the introduction. Let $g \in C_i(\pm 1) \cup C_i(\pm a)$. Fix a way of writing g as a product of the generators $\{I_n \pm E(i, i+1)\}$ of odd length. Let $|g|$ be the length of this word and if $z \in \{I_n \pm E(i, i+1)\}$ let $N(g, z)$ be the number of times z appears in this word. Then*

$$|G|||P^{*t} - \mu||_2^2 \leq |G|(e^{-t/A} + ||Q^{*t/2A} - \mu||_2^2)$$

where

$$A = \max_{\substack{z \in \\ \{I_n \pm E(i,i+1)\}}} \frac{1}{P(z)} \sum_{\substack{g \in \\ C_i(\pm 1) \cup C_i(\pm a)}} |g| N(g, z) Q(g)$$

and notice that

Each element of $C_i(\pm 1) \cup C_i(\pm a)$ must be expressed as a product of elements of the form $\{I_n \pm E(i, i+1)\}$. It so happens that the paths considered in the following section are of odd length exactly because a and one are odd integers.

7.1 Building up $I_n + bE(i, i+2)$ in order $\sqrt{b}$ steps

At first, the goal is to create the element $I + E(i, i+2)$ which has the entry 1 in position $(i, i+2)$. But that simply occurs by considering the following commutator:

$$[I + E(i+1, i+2), I - E(i, i+1)] = I + E(i, i+2)$$

For example, if $n = 4$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then just notice the following identity holds in G with entries in $\mathbb{R}$:

$$I + bE(i, i+2) =$$

$$(I+\sqrt{b}E(i,i+1))^{-1}(I+\sqrt{b}E(i+1,i+2))^{-1}(I+\sqrt{b}E(i,i+1))(I+\sqrt{b}E(i+1,i+2)) \tag{6}$$

To make sense of this in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, consider the above identity using $\lfloor\sqrt{b}\rfloor$ and then multiplying by $I+(b-\lfloor\sqrt{b}\rfloor^2)E(i,i+2)$ from the left gets to $I+bE(i,i+2)$ which takes $4(b-\lfloor\sqrt{b}\rfloor)+\sqrt{b}$ steps. Notice that $I+bE(i,i+2)$ can be expressed as a word on $\{I+E(j,j+1)\}$ of even length and that this length is actually at most $4(3\lfloor\sqrt{p}\rfloor+1)$.

7.2 Building up the conjugacy class of $I_n \pm E(i,i+1)$

To build an element B of the conjugacy class of $I \pm E(i,i+1)$, the main idea is to build two matrices B_1 and B_2 whose product is B. More specifically, the $i+1, i+3, i+5\ldots$ columns of B_1 are the same as the ones of B and the rest zeros and similarly B_2 has the same $i+2, i+4, \ldots$ column as B and the rest zeros. B_1 will have odd length when expressed as a word on $\{I \pm E(i,i+1\}$, while B_2 will have even length. This way, B will have indeed odd length, something that is needed to do comparison.

To build up B_1, take $I+E(i,i+1)$ and conjugate by $I-E(i-1,i)$ to get 1's exactly above the position $(i,i+1)$. Continue conjugating by $I-E(j-1,j), j=2,3,\ldots i-1$ to get ones everywhere above the position $(i,i+1)$. Conjugate by $I-(a_1-1)E(1,3)$ turns the 1 in position $(1,i+1)$ into a_1. Continue conjugating by elements of the form $I-(a_j-1)E(j,j+2), j=2,3\ldots i-2$ to build the first column of the box and at the end multiply from the left by $I-(a_{i-1}-1)E(i-1,i+1)$. Notice that conjugating and multiplying by elements of the form $I-(a_{i-1}-1)E(i-1,i+1)$ keep the parity of the word untouched. That is so far we have a word of odd length.

Here is an example for $n=6$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now conjugating by $I + b_3E(i+1, i+3)$ will force the third column of the box to be exactly what we want. Continue conjugating by elements of the form $I + b_{j+2}E(j, j+2), j = i+1, i+3, \ldots$ to create the odd columns of the

box. For example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 10 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 15 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The next step creates the even columns in a separate, new matrix B_2. The process is similar to the previous construction. Create the second column of the box by conjugating $I+E(i+1,i+2)$ by $I-E(i,i+1), I-E(i-1,i), ..., I-E(1,2)$. This way the column has only ones. Imitate the construction of the first column to create the second column of the box as wished. Then multiply with $I-E(i+1,i+2)$ to get rid of the 1 in position $(i+1,i+2)$. And then conjugate by $I+b_jE(j+2,j+4)$ for $j \geq 1$ as many times as needed to create the even columns. This way B_2 is expressed as a word on $\{I \pm E(i,i+1)\}$ of even length.

The rest of the columns are totally determined by the above movements. Multiply the matrix of the odd columns with the matrix of the even ones to get the element of the conjugacy class wanted. This gives the conjugacy class wanted in $6na+4a$ steps and each generator has multiplicity at most $12a+4$, where c is a constant.

The following example illustrates how an element of the conjugacy class of $I+E(i,1+1)$ can be obtained if the even columns and the odd columns are constructed in two separate matrices.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -4 & 10 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & -6 & 15 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -2 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 10 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 15 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

7.3 Building up the conjugacy class of $I_n \pm aE(i, i+1)$

To construct the conjugacy class of $I+aE(i,i+1)$, things are actually easier given the paths that were constructed in Section 7.2. Start with $I+E(i,i+1)$ and create the column above it according to the first step from above. Then multiply by $I+(a-1)E(i,i+1)$ to get a in position $(i,i+1)$. Now conjugate by $(I+E(i,i+2))^{\frac{b_3}{a}} = I+\frac{b}{a}E(i,i+2)$ to get exactly the third column you wished for. Then repeat the same process as above.

Notice that again, the length of the word is at most $6na+5a$ and the multiplicity of each generator is at most $13a+4$.

8 Proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. Section (7) shows that $A = 78n^2(p+2\lfloor\sqrt{p}\rfloor+1)+65(p+2\lfloor\sqrt{p}\rfloor+1)+24n+20(\lfloor\sqrt{p}\rfloor+1) \leq 79n^2(p+2\lfloor\sqrt{p}\rfloor+1)$. Therefore, 7 gives that for $t = 79n^2(p+2\lfloor\sqrt{p}\rfloor+1)(2n(p+2)\log n + dn)$

$$|G|||P^{*t}-\mu||_2^2 \leq |G|\left(e^{-t/A}+||Q^{*t/2A}-\mu||_2^2\right) \leq$$

$$p^{n^2}e^{-(2(p+2)n\log n+dn)}+\frac{3}{2}e^{-d}(1+2e^{-d}) = \frac{p^{n^2}}{n^{2pn}}e^{-dn}+\frac{3}{2}e^{-d}(1+2e^{-d})$$

Therefore in the case where n is small such that $n\log p \leq 2(p+2)\log n+\frac{1}{n}\log c$ where c is a constant then

$$4||P^{*t}-\mu||_{T.V}^2 \leq |G|||P^{*t}-\mu||_2^2 \leq ce^{-dn}+\frac{3}{2}e^{-d}(1+2e^{-d})$$

But if n is bigger then for $t = 79n^2(p+2\lfloor\sqrt{p}\rfloor+1)(2n^2(p+2)+dn)$,

$$4||P^{*t}-\mu||_{T.V}^2 \leq |G|||P^{*t}-\mu||_2^2 \leq e^{-dn}+\frac{3}{2}e^{-d}(1+2e^{-d})$$

□

9 Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my advisor, Persi Diaconis, for all the useful comments and the guidance he provided.

References

[1] Carlos A. M. André. Basic sums of coadjoint orbits of the unitriangular group. *J. Algebra*, 176(3):959–1000, 1995.

[2] Carlos A. M. André. The regular character of the unitriangular group. *J. Algebra*, 201(1):1–52, 1998.

[3] Carlos A. M. André. Basic characters of the unitriangular group (for arbitrary primes). *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 130(7):1943–1954 (electronic), 2002.

[4] Ery Arias-Castro, Persi Diaconis, and Richard Stanley. A super-class walk on upper-triangular matrices. *J. Algebra*, 278(2):739–765, 2004.

[5] Don Coppersmith and Igor Pak. Random walk on upper triangular matrices mixes rapidly. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 117(3):407–417, 2000.

[6] P. Diaconis and L. Saloff-Coste. Nash inequalities for finite Markov chains. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 9(2):459–510, 1996.

[7] Persi Diaconis. Threads through group theory. In *Character theory of finite groups*, volume 524 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 33–47. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.

[8] Persi Diaconis and Bob Hough. Random walk on unipotent matrix groups. *arXiv:1512.06304*, 2015.

[9] Persi Diaconis and Laurent Saloff-Coste. Comparison techniques for random walk on finite groups. *Ann. Probab.*, 21(4):2131–2156, 1993.

[10] P. M. Gudivok, Yu. V. Kapitonova, S. S. Polyak, V. P. Rud′ko, and A. I. Tsitkin. Classes of conjugate elements of a unitriangular group. *Kibernetika (Kiev)*, (1):40–48, 133, 1990.

[11] Igor Pak. Two random walks on upper triangular matrices. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 13(4):1083–1100, 2000.

[12] Yuval Peres and Allan Sly. Mixing of the upper triangular matrix walk. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 156(3-4):581–591, 2013.

[13] Laurent Saloff-Coste. Random walks on finite groups. In *Probability on discrete structures*, volume 110 of *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.*, pages 263–346. Springer, Berlin, 2004.

[14] Richard Stong. Random walks on the groups of upper triangular matrices. *Ann. Probab.*, 23(4):1939–1949, 1995.

[15] Ning Yan. *Representation theory of the finite unipotent linear groups*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2001. Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of Pennsylvania.

[16] Ning Yan. Representations of finite unipotent linear groups by the method of clusters. *arXiv:1004.2674*, 2010.

[17] Maria Russell Zack. *Convergence to uniform on the finite Heisenberg group and applications to random number generators*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1989. Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of California, San Diego.